1. **Call to Order**
   The Meeting was called to order at 10:00am, by James E. Duggan, Tulane Law, Chair

In Attendance:

- Lora Amberryaugier
- Brian Barnes
- Tim Stamm (proxy for Thomas Bender)
- Brenda Brantley
- Dianne Brown
- Joanie Chavis
- John Conover
- Tim Stamm (proxy for Richard DeFoe)
- James E. Duggan
- Rita Franks
- Alan Gabehart
- Lora Amberryaugier (proxy for Jeff Griffin)
- John Jensen (proxy for Ruth Hill)
- Bonnie Hines
- Lucas Huntington
- Cecila Iwala
- Wendy Johnson
- Susan Richard (proxy for Eric Johnson)
- Debbie Johnson-Houston
- Dixie Jones
- Jackie Jones
- Margaret Keller
- Abbie Landry
- Suzanne Martin
- Terry Martin
- Susan Richard (proxy for Maggie McCann)
- Annie McKinney
- Jane R. O’Riley
- Gerald Patout
- Emma Perry
- Deborah Poole
- James E. Duggan (proxy for Lance Query)
- Hebert McGuin (proxy for Lynette Ralph)
- Katherine Rolfes
- Tim Stamm (proxy for Diana Schaubhut)
- Mary Francis Sherwood
- Debbie Sibley
- Cathy Sicard, LOUIS
- Don Smith
- Tim Stamm
- Debbie Sibley (proxy for Lori Steib)
- Lisa Stigall, LOUIS
- Susan Richard (proxy for Charles Triche)
- Van Viator
- Sherri Voebel
- Stanley Wilder
- Beth Williams
- Mark Witteman, LOUIS
- Susan Richard (proxy for Bonnie Wood)
- Alan Gabehart (proxy for Christy Wrenn)
- Zehra Zamin, LOUIS
- Sara Zimmerman, LOUIS

2. **Introductions & Welcome**
   James E. Duggan, Chair, welcomed the members to the Spring meeting.
3. **Adoption of the March 20, 2015 Meeting Agenda**
   The Agenda was adopted as presented [see attached]. [Motion: Susan Richard; Second: Wendy Johnson; Carried, Unanimously].

4. **Approval of the Minutes of the Fall 2014 Membership Meeting and Confirmation of Quorum**
   a) Tim Stamm, Secretary, confirmed a Quorum was present
   b) The Minutes of the Fall 2014 Membership Meeting were approved, as posted on the LOUIS website (see link). [Motion: Debbie Johnson-Houston; Second: Don Smith; Carried, Unanimously].

5. **Remarks of the Chair – James E. Duggan, Tulane Law**
   James Duggan, Chair, thanked Wendy Johnson from River Parishes Community College for hosting the Fall Membership Meeting. Mr. Duggan suggested that, in recognition of travel time that the scheduled lunch be a working lunch to facilitate remaining on or ahead of the planned schedule. In addition, Mr. Duggan remarked on the state budget challenges and highlighted the work of the LOUIS Business and Strategic Plans in helping the membership prevail though a time of funding challenges. Mr. Duggan asked the membership to recognize outgoing Board member Debbie Johnson-Houston for her outstanding service. The Membership thanked Ms. Johnson-Houston for her service to the Board and her dedication to the Consortium.

   Dianne Brown, Deputy State Librarian, provided several updates regarding the activities of the State Library.
   - The State Library has experienced a second round of budget cuts, as a result, six (6) positions have been eliminated: one (1) position was vacant; however, five (5) employees were terminated.
   - The State Library now has reduced hours as a result of staffing reductions. Current hours when the Library is open to the public are: Monday through Thursday, 10:00am to 2:00pm. While staff are at work from 8:00am to 4:30pm, Monday through Friday, the State Library staffing can support only twenty (20) hours of open access to the public to access the Library’s resources.
   - State-wide, the Library dropped access to the Software portion of Learning Express (clarified in a later follow-up question: The State Library had three components of Learning Express: Basic, Test Preparation, and Popular Software; Basic and Test Preparation are still available; however, access to Popular Software has been discontinued).
   - ILL courier service: we all refer to the courier service as Lanter. Lanter sub-contracts this service to Statewide. Due to budget reductions, courier service was to be reduced for all libraries to one-day per week. Lanter indicated this arrangement would not be beneficial from a business perspective, so the service would be dropped altogether. As a result of a donation from EBSCO the cost to have service three days per week (for those sites previously receiving five day per week service) and one day per week (for those sites previously receiving one day per week service), courier service will continue through the
end of the fiscal year. Lanter has indicated they will no longer be interested in fulfilling the services outlined in the contract after the current Fiscal Year. A Request for Proposals (RFP) will be developed for services beginning July 1, 2015, with a maximum of delivery/pick-up services at three (3) days per week. The RFP will allow for the possibility of moving back to five days per week, when the budget is available to support this level of service.

- The State Library remains below the State Funding Level for the Library Services and Technology Act (LSTA). Remaining non-compliant with LSTA funding guidelines could result in a $300,000 loss of Federal Funding. Additionally, the State Library was below the maintenance of effort for 2013-2014.

- Attendance for the 2014 Book Festival was just under 18,000; hopes are to increase attendance in the current year. Date of 2015 Book Festival: October 31, 2015

- Ms. Brown accepted questions from the membership: Tim Stamm: Question to clarify which Learning Express Modules remain in the Public Libraries (see above); Emma Perry asked: if the staff members who were laid off could return July 1, 2015, pending funding availability, and what type of staff were terminated? Ms. Brown responded that staffing could be brought back, if funding allowed; however, it does not appear the funding will support the six (6) positions in the upcoming Fiscal Year. All positions were staff positions, not librarians. Suzanne Martin asked: if the electronic versions of Books in Print were dropped from the State Library’s collection? Ms. Brown responded that, yes, the Books In Print had been dropped. Lora Amberryaugier asked/commented: should we refer to ILL courier service as “Statewide” as opposed to “Lanter”? Consensus of the membership was supportive of noting this distinction when referring to ILL courier service.

7. LOUIS Report – Sara Zimmerman

Sara Zimmerman provided a general report regarding activities and initiatives of the LOUIS staff. (attach outline)

   a) LOUIS 2015-2016 budget/membership fees: in general, the budget/membership fees remain in a holding pattern, depending on information from the State budget. LOUIS budget and membership fees are based on receiving on $750,000 from Regents. There is the possibility there will be additional “end-of-the-year” monies for the current fiscal year, and these would be applied to membership fees, as approved by the Executive Board in the past; unless, there are “cases/conditions” placed on the receipt of the end-of-the-year funding. The Board of Regents remains supportive of LOUIS, and the Commissioner of Higher Education, Joseph C. Rallo, has expressed interest in and support of libraries and the collaborative nature of the Consortium. The Louisiana Community and Technical College System President, Monty Sullivan, has also expressed interest in and support of the activities of the Consortium. To address potential funding challenges, the discussion of which databases to drop; however, taskforce and membership input indicates all of the current databases are important. Membership fee amounts will be finalized based on FTE figures for Colleges/Universities, as supplied by the Regents and total amount of State monies available. In general, a major goal of the LOUIS team is to identify and
implement more services that will not cost the membership any additional monies. James Duggan reminded all sites to pay attention to the deadlines as outlined in the by-laws regarding the payment of the LOUIS membership fees, once final bills are received. Timely submissions are required, and early submissions are welcomed.

b) LOUIS Activities

i. Staff Retreat: Planning Session in January, 2015, with the goal: what can LOUIS team do to support the membership in more ways that will not require any additional outlay of funds. Currently, there are 45 members, with 34 automated through LOUIS on the SirsDynix Symphony ILS; so, the goal of library automation is successful and continues. Dillard University in New Orleans may be the next to automate. One idea was to assist individual libraries with their webpage access/creation by providing training/support to use LibGuides as the library webpage, as opposed to individual schools requesting or using these services through the institution’s I.T. department. After some initial discussion, a brief and informal survey of the membership found that several libraries are currently using LibGuides while the majority use their institution’s support services. In general, all seem satisfied, and there was little interest for the majority of the group in moving library web pages to the LibGuides platform.

ii. Adam Viator resigned to accept a position with SirsiDynix, and the position will be refilled: Jamie Barrilleaux has been promoted to Adam’s vacant position, and her position is now vacant: a call for applications is expected to be distributed soon.

iii. John Guillory has announced his retirement. John is one of the original hires for the LOUIS team. Ms. Zimmerman indicated that the plan is to refill the position, pending funding. Emma Perry added that Mr. Guillory had also worked at Southern University and A&M College, and Ms. Zimmerman noted that Mr. Guillory also worked at Delgado Community College.

The membership expressed their appreciation to John and Adam for their service and commitment to the profession of Library Science and the activities of the consortium.

iv. E-book / E-textbook initiative by LSU for rollout to other members; E-book / E-test book interest by Board of Regents; Open Education Resource (OED): Learning with LOUIS webinar in March:

The Board of Regents has expressed an interested in E-book resources for use in libraries and as course texts; LSU has worked on a pilot project identifying E-books held in the collection that can be adopted for use as course texts; the LCTC System is looking at how E-book resources can be used to augment their distance learning initiative, and Loyola has looked at the availability of Open Source materials that may be adopted as
course texts; so, much focus and innovative projects center on E-book / E-textbook adoption and expansion. The LWL webinar provides an overview of the Loyola process, includes a link to their listing of OED resources used as course text materials, and provides a framework for each library to roll-out meeting the unique needs of the individual campus.

Katherine Rolfes asked how such projects would be funded, if acquisition to E-book resources is to expand at individual sites. Sara Zimmerman responded that a pilot project with a “proof of concept” from the Board of Regents will be the first step. (See above regarding the possibility of receiving end-of-year-Regents funding). If end-of-year funding is available from Regents, this may be tied to an E-book / E-textbook project, where each site would receive an allocation to begin a pilot unique to their site that would result in data to support the continuation of such a project. Looking at the LSU model of identifying resources already in the collection or those that can be acquired in the collection that may also be adopted as course texts and Loyola’s model of identifying OpenSource materials, cataloging these, and providing to faculty who may wish to adopt these as course text materials are examples of how sites can address this issue.

Suzanne Martin asked about results of a recent survey where sites were requested to send ISBNs from the resources currently used as required course text materials. Ms. Zimmerman responded that the data have been received, but not yet reviewed to yield information regarding text book collections.

Gerald Patout indicated that discussion at his college have centered on a loss of revenue to the institutional bookstore and auxiliary services enterprises. Sara Zimmerman, Katherine Rolfes, Wendy Johnson, Stanley Wilder and others responded that a major goal is to reduce the costs associated with attending College for students. Couching such discussion in this manner places the focus on the student experience, as opposed to institutional revenue.

James Duggan indicated there is increased interest in publishers of law textbooks to transition, or make available, more access to traditional text materials in electronic form, through licensure to law libraries and/or through OpenSource

Debbie Sibley indicated that students often indicate that they prefer print over electronic course material resources

Abbey Landry indicated that several recent studies have shown that students/users prefer print resources to electronic ones

Bonnie Hines indicated that much of this may be a result of the lack of standards in electronic book ease-of-use: interfaces, end-user tools, and format compatibility usually take different forms depending on the publisher/distributor and can take a significant period of time for the user
to “learn” with varying resources.
Stanley Wilder continued the discussion by noting that while students (and many other users) indicate they prefer to use print resources, we must look at actual usage: what are students using now in our libraries and in support of their coursework: print or electronic? Mr. Wilder indicated that the LSU project did not look at electronic resources typically classified as “textbooks;” but, examined ordinary scholarly monograph materials that are assigned in courses as required materials, then determined if these were already in the collection, or could be acquired in the collection, and made available to students as required course materials if the faculty supported the resource and its use in courses. The project also found that various departments were acquiring access to electronic resources, to include electronic books and journals, in isolation: we, as libraries, have the licensing expertise: this expertise in searching, negotiating, and acquiring licenses for access to materials is a function of the library, and we, as libraries, should own that function. Deborah Poole indicated that these same ideas can be used for print resources: at Loyola, a study of print materials placed on reserve by faculty was conducted: for those titles/items with high and repetitive use, the library acquired the titles, included these in the Collection, added copies to the reserve desk, and is able to collect statistics on their use, while providing access to these print resources that are also considered course text materials.

Tim Stamm added that individual libraries will need to examine current collection development policies and the relevancy of policy exclusions of course text like materials, if applicable, in the contemporary information environment.
Sherri Vobel indicated that there is much interest at her College from her new interim Chancellor, and from the System Office; however, there is concern that this may occur statewide with little or no faculty input about the types and content of materials used in the classroom. Sara Zimmerman responded that this is a higher level of the discussion: first, we should look at what is currently being used in the classrooms, match this to what is currently in our collections to suggest or see if materials can be adopted as required course texts; next, faculty can be consulted to collaborate, collectively, with the development of future electronic collections and the adoption of Licensed E-texts or OpenSource E-texts as required course materials. A Learning with LOUIS is available to review/explain the Loyola project of identifying using OpenSource resources.

v. Communicating with Members: What LOUIS really offers
Recently there was a push for individuals to subscribe to the Listservs, especially the LALINC list.
Debbie Johnson-Houston asked if there was a List designed specifically
for paraprofessional staff in the libraries, Sara Zimmerman indicated that we currently do not have a list for paraprofessionals. Wendy Johnson noted that most of the listservs are topical: designed for Systems Administrators, Electronic Resource Librarians, Deans/Directors, Interlibrary Loan Librarians, etc., and that the LALINC main listserv may be the most appropriate place to post messages for paraprofessional and/or technical support staff members. Suzanne Martin agreed, indicating the main LALINC listserv is the best one for all library employees to follow, as it contains the most information useful across positions.

Cathy Sicard reminded the group that SirsiDynix does have listservs with topics specific to work tasks completed within the Sirsi modules, and these may be a good choice for many paraprofessionals, depending on job function.

James Duggan suggested that we “push” the LALINC listserv for all members of the library. Other suggestions followed regarding how to customize and sort information: Sara Zimmerman reminded the membership to use the Subject Line to focus target audience, as there always is a fine line between overloading listserv subscribers and not providing enough information. Sara also noted that the filter/sort rules in e-mail programs can assist individuals from not feeling overwhelmed and can help organize information: Sara keeps her listserv messages as a conversation thread to assist in organization; Bonnie Hines added that she sets rules for listserv mail to collect, group, and display all messages first thing the following morning.

Deborah Poole suggested surveying the staff in individual libraries to determine if anyone is not subscribed to the lists, and Tim Stamm stated that LOUIS can provide a list to the Dean/Director of whom from their library is subscribed to which lists, and this can assist in improving communication.

Margaret Keller added that the LOUIS newsletter is useful as an overview of the major activities of the Consortium.

vi. Credo – Working on an assessment survey for LOUIS

Credo Ref: Information Literacy have been proactive with assisting in communication, specifically, with the production of videos for distribution on the web (Recall, the video “What is LOUIS,”). Ms. Zimmerman reported there is interest in updating this video, and creating with the LOUIS team, an assessment instrument.

Tim Stamm added that at this time, with the survey completed as part of the LOUIS Business Plan and this survey to be created with the assistance of CredoRef, several members of the Assessment Task Force are concerned that we may be surveying membership and other users too often, especially as the satisfaction surveys will essentially the same questions. Consensus of the membership was that the Assessment Task
Force should be moved to an “Inactive” status. After data collection from various survey instruments/projects, if there is a need for data analysis and additional surveying, the Assessment Task Force can be reactivated to: analyze results, suggest plans for improvement, and/or create additional survey instruments.

vii. OCLC working on migration from FirstSearch to WorldCat Discovery, December, 2015
Sara Zimmerman reported on a migration from OCLC’s WorldCat that will take the place of First Search.
Wendy Johnson asked what will this involve for individual sites: do individual sites need to do anything to prepare or to accommodate this migration? Ms. Zimmerman responded that sites do not need to do anything, as the migration will be handled by the LOUIS team.
Bonnie Hines asked about LinkSource: will we still have LinkSource, and, if so, how will this service be impacted by the migration? Zehra Zamin responded that LinkSource will still be available, and individual sites can set it up, it they would like to use it. WorldCat will be accessed through Discovery. Lora Amberryaugier noted that Open World Cat is being discontinued, so access will be through Discovery.

viii. Louisiana Library Association (LLA) meeting:
Sara Zimmerman announced that LOUIS and SirsiDynix will share a booth at the LLA Conference.

ix. LCTCS Conference Day, 2016
The Louisiana Community and Technical College System hosts a statewide conference each year for all LCTC employees to participate in professional development activities. Ms. Zimmerman indicated that LOUIS team members attended the 2015 Conference Day and are considering having a booth for 2016 Conference Day. Tim Stamm added that booths are extremely popular at the LCTCS Conference Day, and a LOUIS booth would definitely be a good idea.

x. Syndetics (ProQuest/Bowker) enriched book content
Syndetics can be used to deliver enhanced content in discovery: recently Cathy Sicard sent an e-mail about the availability of book cover images to use in the catalog (discovery) records with a pricing strategy for LOUIS sites. Those interested should e-mail Cathy directly.

c) SirsiDynix Symphony
Sara Zimmerman and Cathy Sicard provided a brief overview of our involvement with SirsiDynix to the present and included the reasoning behind continuing with SirsiDynix for the foreseeable future, as the result of from the Symphony Infrastructure Migration Taskforce’s recommendation that the Consortium remain with the Symphony product.

A service, Analytics, is now available to capture data; however, this product does
not work in our current environment, as a result, and to use this service, it is necessary to move to an Oracle database platform. The Linux operating system is being considered in place of AIX. The advantage of transitioning is that Unicode is available with the Oracle database platform (Unicode is a computing industry standard for consistent encoding, representation, and handling of text expressed in the majority of contemporary writing systems). As a result, two options are currently available to the Consortium. 1) LOUIS would continue locally hosting the Symphony infrastructure, or 2) Move to a cloud-based SaaS (Software as a Service) hosting with SirsiDynix. The LOUIS team reviewed work assignments, and notes that approximately 20 percent of work time is devoted to maintaining local hosting. If the decision is made to move to the cloud, this time would be reallocated to focus on other ways to support the membership. If the decision is to remain with local hosting, approximately $80,000 to $100,000 annual outlay will be required for the future (hardware and software), so it appears there would be a huge hardware and human resources savings with SaaS. The Taskforce has been meeting weekly, via conference call, to address various issues including: survey of current SaaS customers, discussions with SirsiDynix, and the LOUIS team and SIMTF has attended meetings at the SirsiDynix COSUGI Conference. When reviewing all options, it appears to be almost a no-brainer to move the Cloud. One concern is that as a Consortium we do not want lose the ability to manage data.

Cathy Sicard asked the membership to encourage staff at each library to view and review two instances in testing for SaaS: LSU and RPCC have been migrated to an LINUX environment with embedded Oracle and Unicode. Ms. Sicard asked everyone to test this environment and report any concerns/issues: 1) new release, and 2) new SaaS environment.

Lora Amberryaugier noted that the test environment has appeared very responsive and this is encouraging, as it seems the LONI network is starting to have more frequent and recurring issues. Sara Zimmerman noted that LSU has recently began a move to an ERP system

Cathy Sicard noted there will be two months to test, with a recommendation expected in May. A migration would begin shortly after a final decision has been made. An estimated target date to begin migration is June 1, 2015. The decision will center on what makes the most sense for local institutions.

Annie McKinney asked what to expect for a migration timeline for the process. Ms. Sicard responded that it should be a three-day period for each site; however, there will be work to prepare for the three-day period that will take approximately three weeks to complete. Ms. Zimmerman followed up emphasizing that response time is important, and that the Consortium paid to set up these test instances in order to have the opportunity to use and review prior to making a final decision.

The BLUEcloud product testing for products such as BLUEcloud Cataloging, Bluecloud PAC, BLUEcloud Analytics, and BookMyne is currently on-hold. LOUIS hopes that testing of BLUEcloud products will resume once the infrastructure
migration is underway. Beth Williams asked for a projected timeline for an entire BLUEcloud migration. Mark Witteman responded that exact dates have not been set. Many of the BLUEcloud products are not mature enough for our environment, and some are not in development yet, but will be soon. But LOUIS staff and member libraries will continue to stay abreast of developments regarding BLUEcloud and hope to take a more active role in testing the newer software. John Conover asked if we should begin to uninstall the Workflows Client on staff computers. Mr. Witteman responded that individual libraries should not uninstall Workflows on individual computers, as the same interface will be used in a SaaS environment. Discussion commenced regarding the difference between local hosting, SaaS/Cloud, and BLUEcloud. Sara Zimmerman responded that with SaaS, individual libraries are “unhooked” from one another, so each institution can move at different paces with upgrades, etc. in the future. Beth Williams added that it does appear to be the best decision to move to SaaS; however, also expressed a desire to have the BLUEcloud implementation on-track and available in the near future. LOUIS agreed.

d) EBSCO
   i. Discovery Service
   ii. Full-Text Finder:
       1. Full Text finder takes LinkSource and AtoZ services and migrates these to a new service, called Full-text Finder. With Full Text Finder there will be only one interface
       2. FTF is the next generation knowledge base, holding management tool, publication search, and link resolver
       3. Activated within existing EDS
       4. Lisa Stigall indicated that the LOUIS team is currently working through the various issues, as this service will all be managed in EBSCOhost now
   iii. United States/North America Users Group Conference will be held in May, 2016, in Boston.

e) Library Services Platform (LSP)
   Sara Zimmerman provided an update regarding the LSP. As a brief overview: A Library Services Platform is the Combination of an Integrated Library System (ILS) with Electronic Discovery Services; for the Consortium, this is the integration of Symphony and EBSCO suite of software. At this time, there is nothing noteworthy to report. But LOUIS continues to communicate with SirsiDynix and EBSCO to ensure that the initiatives outlined in their joint roadmap, in terms of LOUIS desired features, will eventually become deliverables to LOUIS members.

f) Louisiana Community and Technical College System (LCTCS)
   i. Sara Zimmerman provided a brief overview of a project the LOUIS team has embarked on with the LCTC System in an effort to provide a way to
access patron load information at the individual LCTCS Colleges through the System’s shared/centralized Information System. Mark Witteman reported that the project has evolved from its initial goal of simply identifying ways for Colleges to access the data needed for the patron load, to one that will increase efficiency, empower the individual libraries, and provide access to reliable data. Mr. Witteman noted that all LCTC Colleges have had success with the current process, and that plans to have the file transfer automated should be completed in approximately one month. Wendy Johnson and Tim Stamm expressed an overall success of the project, and Joanie Chavis echoed this, noting that some challenges are not with the process, but with individual and system-wide policies regarding access to library resources for special populations, including the prison education programs. A solution to these issues is being discussed.

g) LUC 2015: October 8 – October 9: Pennington Biomedical Research Center Conference Center
   i. Commissioner of Higher Education, Joseph Rallo, will be the Opening Session Speaker

h) ILLIAD
   i. Loyola Law School joined ILLIAD
   ii. Continuing to upgrade software and provide training. Debbie Sibley asked about the current server and a move to the cloud. Zehra Zamin and Mark Witteman responded that our ILL service is not hosted on the LOUIS server, but, is hosted by Atlas, so upgrades/migrations are dependent on decisions outside of the Consortium.
   iii. North/South ILLIAD meetings continue

i) E-Resources
   Ms. Zimmerman noted that e-resources continue to be beneficial to the Consortium, and a greater interest in the establishment/creation of additional mini-consortium purchases of e-resources

j) Task Forces:
   Active:
   i. Statistics – Alice Daugherty, LSU: Chair
   ii. Resource Sharing – Tim Stamm, Delgado: Chair
   iii. Grants – John Conover, LUMCON: Chair
   iv. Symphony Infrastructure Migration – Mike Waugh, LSU; Cathy Sicard, LOUIS: Co-Chairs
   v. INACTIVE, by earlier action: Assessment – Tim Stamm, Delgado: Chair
   vi. Nursing e-Resources -- Debbie Sibley, LSUHSC New Orleans and Mary Marix, LSUHSC New Orleans
k) Interest Groups
   i. Electronic Resources – Jeanne Pavy, UNO and Jim Hobbs, Loyola: Co-Chairs
   ii. Information Literacy – Jeremiah Paschke-Wood, ULL: Chair; Jessica Hutchings, McNeese: Past-Chair
   iii. Technology – Natalie Palermo, LSU Law; Brian Sherman, LSU-S: Co-Chairs
      Currently need a volunteer to Chair this Interest Group
         1. Also serve on Symphony Infrastructure Migration Task Force

   a) Mr. Stamm provided an update of Reciprocal Borrowing for the 2013-2014 Academic Year. He reminded the membership of a new category/listing for Reciprocal Borrowing, “S=Library Collection consists primarily of Electronic Resources,” approved at the Fall meeting to address library collections that are all, or primarily, comprised of electronic resources. Additionally, work of a taskforce on reciprocal borrowing will be provided later in the agenda.

9. Taskforce and Interest Group Reports
   a) Electronic Resources Interest Group – Jim Hobbs, Loyola University and Jeanne Pavy, University of New Orleans
      See written report attached
   b) Technology Interest Group – Natalie Palermo, Louisiana State University Law School and Brian Sherman, Louisiana State University at Shreveport
      No report
   c) Information Literacy Interest Group – Jeremiah Paschke-Wood, University of Louisiana at Lafayette and Jessica Hutchings, McNeese State University
      No report
   d) Statistics Task Force – Alice Daugherty, Louisiana State University and A&M College
      See written report (attached)
   e) Resources Sharing Task Force – Tim Stamm, Delgado Community College
      The Resource Sharing Task Force has surveyed the Deans/Directors and their designees regarding the information necessary/desirable if the LALINC lending/borrowing process were automated. Next steps include the review of automated systems, and how to ensure the non-LOUIS supported Sirsi and non-Sirsi libraries can access borrower information
f) **Grants Task Force – John Conover, Louisiana Universities Marine Consortium**  
The Grants Task Force remains active and continues exploring possible funding sources to augment activities of the Consortium.

g) **Nursing E-Resources Taskforce – Debbie Sibley, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center at New Orleans and Mary Marix, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center at New Orleans**  
Ms. Sibley reported on the work of the Taskforce, centered largely on the various one-month trials for the evaluation of potential new and/or replacement Nursing resources. (See report attached). Ms. Sibley noted that the work of the Taskforce is largely complete, and the ability to review and test potential databases can be addressed in the future through the LER group, and purchasing can be handled through mini-consortia, if possible. Consensus of the Membership to move the Nursing E-Resources Taskforce to Inactive was reached.

h) **Symphony Infrastructure Migration Task Force – Mike Waugh, Louisiana State University and A&M College and Cathy Sicard, LOUIS**  
No report was necessary; see discussion in LOUIS Updates.

10. **Louisiana Digital Library Update – Stanley Wilder, Louisiana State University and A&M College**  
Mr. Wilder provided an update regarding recent activities of the Louisiana Digital Library initiative. The LDL will have a meeting April 7, 2015.

11. **Election of New Officers**
   a) **Vice Chair/Chair Elect:** Tim Stamm, Delgado Community College was nominated for this position, and a vote commenced **[Carried; Unanimously]**
   b) **Secretary/Treasurer:** Joanie Chavis, Baton Rouge Community College was nominated for this position, and a vote commenced **[Carried; Unanimously]**
   c) **Member-at-Large:** Wendy Johnson, River Parishes Community College was nominated for this position, and a vote commenced **[Carried; Unanimously]**

12. **Discussion**
   a) The Membership again recognized outgoing Board Member-at-Large, Debbie Johnson-Houston for her service and commitment to the Consortium

13. **Old Business**  
No Old Business was brought before the membership.

14. **New Business**  
No New Business was brought before the membership.
15. **Fall Membership Meeting**  
   **Friday, October 2, 2015, at 10:00am**  
   Northwestern State University of Louisiana

16. **Adjournment**  
   After several general announcements and discussion, the Meeting was adjourned at **12:32pm**